A generic model for guiding learners in adaptive hypermedia
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Abstract. Learners often find themselves in situations where they want to achieve a goal but do not have the sufficient knowledge to enable them to achieve it spontaneously. These situations are referred to as problems. Indeed, guiding a learner in a learning activity is a complex task with no guarantee of success. If the learner is not guided in performing the task, the learning objective is often not achieved, and the learning does not occur. In our work, the relevance of the guide will be on the learner’s prior knowledge and purpose of apprenticeship referred. Our approach relies on the domain model, pedagogical activities as well as the traces and inference on them. Their use allows the learner modeling and its management by the system.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, learning systems take various forms: micro-worlds, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), adaptive hypermedia (AH), learning games, etc. The conception of a learning system is a multidisciplinary task based on theoretical models inspired by pedagogy, by didactic and by psychology. The direct application of such models is not always easy, or even possible, and in general requires major adaptations. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) are designed to assist learners in the acquisition of skills rather than the complete mastery of a domain. Intelligent tutoring systems are primarily used as instruction during the tutorial section of a lecture course, or in conjunction with an alternate instruction method [2, 6, 19, 26]. Conversely, Adaptive Hypermedia (AH) are primarily designed to impart the concepts of a domain that a student must know in order to utilize these skills [22, 16, 11]. While some adaptive hypermedia systems do provide instruction in skills, it is generally less advanced than comparable ITS instruction. For a system to provide a standalone solution comparable to a lecture course it must provide instruction in both concepts and skills. A general instruction system requires both of these instruction methods to provide a full learning system [23, 15, 8, 18].

This paper describes a generic model for guiding learners in Adaptive Intelligent Tutoring System AITS, composed of two components: adaptive hypermedia and intelligent tutoring system. To design an adaptive intelligent tutoring system which can manage both different disciplinary domains and a guide for the learner is difficult. The specialization of the analysis treatments is responsible for the loss of reusability in other disciplinary domains. The analysis is didactic and thus strongly connected to the domain concerned. It results in the intelligent tutoring system is consequently, specialized in a type of taught knowledge and not easily transposable to other domains. To propose a model transposable to different domains of learning, the for-
mer has to take into account this diversity and to situate the learning activity. In this paper, we will show how to produce a guide model parameterized by the learning domain. Our objective was to develop an adaptive intelligent tutoring system, adapted for letting the learners work in several disciplinary fields in the university of Annaba. In this context, our constraint is threefold: to represent knowledge relative to several disciplinary domains, to propose interactive activities to the learners and finally, to be able to support student guidance in her/his course by proposing her/him relevant support activities when he meets difficulties. The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we present a state of the art of related works where we give some learning systems (AH and ITS) that used the traces. Section 3 is devoted to the presentation of the means of generic model for guiding learners in AITS. We present in section 4 the results of an experiment conducted at the level of the university. Finally, section 5 contains the conclusion and the future work.

2 Background and Related

First of all, let us begin by giving a state of the art on adaptive hypermedia system. Then, we will give a state of the art on intelligent tutoring system that use traces.

2.1 Adaptive Hypermedia

Hypermedia systems are becoming increasingly popular as tools for user-driven access to information. They typically offer users a lot of freedom to navigate through a large hyperspace. Adaptive Hypermedia (AH) combines hypermedia with user modeling [4]. The content presented by the system is adapted to the user’s knowledge, goals and preferences by maintaining a user model. In the educational hypermedia context, the topics suggested to the learner for subsequent study would be determined by the learner’s existing knowledge. AH aim at overcoming these problems by providing adaptive navigation support and adaptive content [12]. The adaptation is based on a user model that represents relevant aspects of the user such as preferences [4], knowledge and interests. The system gathers information about the user by observing the use of the application, and in particular by observing the browsing user’s behaviour. Adaptive hypermedia build a model of the goals, preferences and knowledge of each individual user, and use this model throughout the interaction with the user, in order to adapt the hypertext to the needs of that particular user [5]. For example, a learner in an adaptive hypermedia system will be given a presentation which is adapted specifically to his or her knowledge of the subject and a suggested set of most relevant links to precede further [21]. An adaptive electronic encyclopedia will personalize the content of an article to increase the user’s existing knowledge and interests [17]. A virtual museum will adapt the presentation of every visited object to the user’s individual path through the museum [20].

2.2 Intelligent Tutoring System

The approach known as (ITS) has been pursued by researchers in education, psychology, and artificial intelligence. The goal of ITS is to provide the same benefits as the ones given by a one-to-one instruction. It enables learners to practice their skills by carrying out tasks within highly interactive learning environments. Normally, computer based systems such as CAL (Computer Aided Learning) or CBT (Computer Based Training) uses traditional instructional methods by providing instruction to learners without being concerned with a model of the learner’s knowledge. Thus, these instructions sometimes cannot assist learners individually. By contrast, an ITS assesses each learner’s actions within these interactive environments and develops a model of their knowledge, skills, and expertise. Based on the learner model, it can tailor instructional strategies, in terms of both the content and style, and provides relevant explanations, hints, examples, demonstrations, and practice problems to individual learner. For example, we can cite intelligent tutoring systems developed for teaching on E-learning system: COLER[10, 9, 26], Prolog-Tutor[27], ZosMat[14]. The necessary components of an ITS are the domain model, the learner model, the diagnostic module, the tutorial module and the user-interface module. The domain model in an ITS consists of domain knowledge that the system intends to teach the learner. The domain model provides the necessary skill to the tutor in order to help him solve problems posed by the learner as well as determining correct answers for the questions asked by learners. The learner model is that part of an ITS which represents the current knowledge state of the learner. This information helps the tutor to adapt the instruction in accordance with competence, abilities and needs. The tutor can accordingly choose a suitable level and method of presentation of the subject based on the learner’s learning abilities and other factors such as those represented in the learner model. The main objective of the diagnostic module is to maintain the learner model and performing the learner evaluation before, during and after the tutorial process. The information provided by the diagnostic module is to be used by the tutorial module to decide about what to teach and how to teach. The tutorial

module contains instructional strategies like choosing an effective presentation method, determining what to present next and when to interrupt the instruction process. The instructional strategies are based on the information provided by the diagnostic and the learner modules, whereas the user-interface module provides communication between the learner and the tutor.

3 Toward an Adaptive Intelligent Tutoring System (AITS)

From the study of this state of the art, we can conclude by stating the disadvantages of these systems:

- The majority of these systems are dedicated to a specific domain, allowing them to offer accurate models of the domain and the learner. The analysis produced from traces left by the users is didactically very precise and specific to the domain in question. It allows one to guide the learner in case of difficulty and to offer her/him some support.

- An intelligent tutoring system is very limited in its level of expertise, long to develop, rigid and difficult to change, whereas hypermedia is theoretically unlimited in its expertise, developed rapidly and easily updated;

- A hypermedia system, given its freedom and flexibility, is deemed by both problems of disorientation and cognitive overload, which deprive the learner’s initial target, while an intelligent tutoring system is deemed by the fact that it effectively guides the user towards his goal.

To overcome these limitations, we will try to combine the benefits of both paradigms (AH and ITS) in order to adapt the course to the needs and intellectual abilities of each learner.

3.1 Models and Knowledge Representation

Figure 1 gives an overview of the system overall architecture. It consists of tree main interfaces, which are associated with each of the following human actors: learner, teacher and administrator. In addition, it contains an adaptive intelligent tutoring which is made up of two components: adaptive hypermedia(domain model, learner model and adaptation model)[13, 7] and intelligent tutoring system(domain model, learner model, diagnostic module and tutorial module)[3, 24, 1, 25]. We present in the following sections the available features in each human interface.

a) Domain model: The system’s domain model is based on the concepts notion that the learner can select and study. These concepts are interconnected by relations: relations of sufficiency and precedence relations.

a1) Relationship of precedence: A concept N1 is precedence relation with a concept N2 if the control (or partial control) of N2 is necessary for learning to N1. This relationship has an attribute: S is the minimum threshold of N2 control to allow the start of learning N1.

a2) Relationship of Sufficiency: A concept N1 is linked with a concept of sufficiency N2 if the control of N2 (or partial control) results control of N1. This relationship with two attributes: S is the minimum threshold of N2 control to activate the requisite relationship. A is the contribution (in percentage) of control N2 to N1. In addition, the teacher organizes the learning according to pedagogical activities. Linked to our domain model, we have defined a corpus of interactive activities. These activities have to be organized in a progressive manner by possibly using serious games, interactive exercises, simulation and artefacts that support the construction of the knowledge. Here in the following example of an architecture domain model in our approach (see figure 2).

b) Learner’s Model: Learner modeling and adaptation are strongly correlated, in the sense that the amount and nature of information represented in the learner model depend largely on the kind of adaptation effect that the system has to deliver. The learner model in AITS was defined as three sub-models: The profile, the knowledge level and the trace. The learner profile was implemented as a set of attributes which store learners static personal characteristics, for example username, password, unique ID, age, e-mail. The knowledge level recorded by the system for learner’s knowledge about each domain concept; It is an overlay of the domain model. It associated learner’s knowledge level with each concept of the domain model. We want to continually assess the skill level of the learner to develop a map of his state of knowledge. The learner model is enriched at the end of each activity after analysis of the traces produced.

c) Adaptation Model: The adaptation model in AITS specified the way in which the learners’ knowledge
modifies the content presentation. It was implemented as a set of the classical structure: If condition, then action type rules. These rules form the connection between the domain model and learner model to update the learner model and provide appropriate learning materials. The adaptation model
consists of abstract concept selection rules that determine which concepts from the domain model to be covered, based on the knowledge in the learner model. To support adaptivity, AITS used a combination of adaptive navigation support and adaptive presentation technique. AITS implemented adaptive presentation by classifying learners according to their current knowledge state. Learners with different knowledge state view different presentations of the same educational material. The system implemented various adaptive navigation support technologies, which help the user in navigating the domain model. It offered linear navigation (direct guidance, next and previous units) hierarchical navigation (through the tree-like structure of contents) and relational navigation (link insertion and link disabling through prerequisite concepts relationship).

d1) Diagnostic module: We can define the traces as a system of registration of the imprint on the learner’s activities. It includes quantitative information: date of registration of the mark, completions of the learner, time stamping of actions of the learner, the concepts consulted proficiency levels before and after the activity, the gain control, the maximum gain control. To construct the learner model a few indicators are defined:

- Achievement ($\sigma$)

  $$A_i = (N_i, \sigma, M)$$

  defines the learning gain $\sigma$ concerning a notion $N_i$ with a maximum $M$. An achievement $(N, 0.1, 0.2)$ signifies that the skill concerning the notion $N$ may increase by 10% to a maximum of 20% of the skill level. For a learner with a skill level of 5% concerning this notion, such an achievement will increase her/his skill level to 15%. Naturally, if her/his skill level is 12% at the beginning then it will reach 20% and not 22%.

- Skill level ($\alpha$)

  It is a couple, $(N_i, \alpha)$, the real number $\alpha$ corresponds to the skill level of the notion $N_i$; 0 notion not acquired, 1 fully acquired.
In the learner model, it defines her/his skill concerning a notion. In the pedagogical activities, it deals with the lowest skill level required in order to access a specific activity. To compute a learner’s progression we need to sum skill level and achievement:

\[ Li + Ai = (Ni; \max(\alpha + \sigma; M)) \]

Such a sum may give an indication on the skill level; the goal of this operation is to re-evaluate the skill level of a learner after her/his activity. Therefore, we distinguish three cases:

- A skill level and achievement are on the same concept; in this case it is given by their summation.
- There is no achievement on a skill level concept; in this case the skill level remains unchanged.
- There is no concept skill level of an achievement in this case we add a skill level with an initial value gain of achievement.

- **Gain control**
  The gain control is defined as the difference between the skill level after the achievement of the activity and the initial skill level of a given concept.

\[ GC_i = \alpha_i' - \alpha_i. \]

- **Potential control**
  For a given concept, the potential control is the maximum skill level that the learner can achieve by performing an activity correctly.

\[ PC = \max(\alpha; \max(\alpha + \sigma; M)) \]

- **Learning Success Rate**
  For a given concept, the learning success rate quantifies the magnitude of what was learned while taking into account the candidate’s learning potential for the given activity. For an activity with learning potential close to the learner’s skill level, success is achieved and thus overcomes the activity.

\[ SRL_i = 100 \left( \frac{GC_i}{(PC_i-\alpha_i)} \right) \text{ for } PC_i > \alpha_i \]
\[ SRL_i = 100 \left( \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i} \right) \text{ for } PC_i = \alpha_i \]

These metrics have been implemented to determine the activities in order to provide a response to learner difficulties.

**Table 1: Pedagogical rules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R₁</td>
<td>Submit a remediation activity exists in all activities provided to the learner during learning in a way that this activity is not affected by the learner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₂</td>
<td>Activity is linked to notions of working with the requisite concepts which worked the learning activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₃</td>
<td>Activity allows a maximum of completion exceeds the learner’s level of mastery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₄</td>
<td>The system asks the learner to make an assessment on the activity of remediation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₅</td>
<td>Do not provide the learner with the solutions of the first evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₆</td>
<td>Show the learner all the mistakes he made during the evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₇</td>
<td>The system asks the learner to repeat the assessment with the problems posed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₈</td>
<td>Provide the learner with the solutions of the first evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₉</td>
<td>Activity is similar to the learning activity carried out (have a maximum M equal to the maximum M of the previous activity).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₀</td>
<td>System proposes to the learner to choose another activity (if desired) among all activities included in the learning process, and working on a variety of other concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₁</td>
<td>Submit a remediation activity exists in all activities provided to the learner during learning in a way that this activity is already performed by the learner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₂</td>
<td>Activity has an achievement that raises the level of proficiency (completion gain greater than the activity previously carried out).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₃</td>
<td>Activity is working with the concepts precedence relation with the concepts on which worked the learning activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₄</td>
<td>Activity whose completion has a maximum M just below the proficiency level of the learner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁₅</td>
<td>System proposes the teacher to support the learner who is in trouble by choosing another action.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 shows the use of these rules according to the evaluation result (high, medium and low) in a learning scenario.

### 3.2 Teacher Interface

The teacher interface contains the main functionalities of the system, which provides the teacher with a set of features that allow him to carry out his tasks in an effective way. Several tasks can be done by the teachers,
the following sub-sections present the most important ones.

a) Activities management. The teacher will add more learning activities by selecting and entering the necessary parameters (content, type, ongoing relationships, etc.)

b) Exercises management. Create an assessment is a task which the teacher is responsible, so it can add exercises and their solutions (see figure 4, in French).

c) Consultation traces learners. Consultation with traces of learners allows the teacher to learn more about the level of learners and identifies their problems. Therefore he/she may add more consistent activities, styles and learners skills.

d) Communication. The teacher can sometimes communicate with learners in difficulty.

3.3 Learner Interface
The main role of this interface is to offer to the learner access to training courses. We present, in this section, a description of the main activities provided by this interface. After accessing the session, the learner can navigate in the hypermedia and choose from a list of courses in the area of algorithms course to view it (see figure 5, in French). The learners can also choose an activity to be carried out in the field of algorithm studies, this activity works on a set of hypermedia courses. The choice is made by selecting an activity from the set presented in a list.

After completing the current activity, the student will spend a formative evaluation (on courses related to the activity). During the assessment phase a set of questions will be proposed and two types of assessments are used: multiple choice and space to fill (see Figure 6).

4 Experiment
In this section, we present a description of an experiment that was conducted at the university of Annaba. First of all, we will begin by giving an overview of the subject to be studied and the participants. Then, we present the adopted methodology. At the end of the experiment, a questionnaire was submitted to the participants. The results are presented and discussed in the
next sub-section. Finally, we will present some problems faced by learners.

4.1 Overview

An experimental study was conducted within Annaba university (Algeria) with 1st year licence students where the subject was "algorithmic". This subject is studied by several students in the licence degree. In fact, students from MI (Mathematics and Informatics), ST (Science and Technology), Economics and Sciences of Nature must take a subject termed "initiation into informatics and algorithmic". Students can use the system from any computer connected to the university intranet network. We took into account, in this experiment, only students from the MI (Mathematics and Informatics) speciality.

4.2 Methodology

We conducted an experiment in computer science department at Annaba university (Algeria), with 40 students from 1st year bachelor degree, where the major subject is: "algorithmic". The participants are divided into two groups (at random). The first group (control group) follows a system prototype without the model for guiding learners in adaptive hypermedia, while the second (experimental group) uses AITS system with all its features. Our hypothesis is that the model for guiding increases the cognitive level of learners.

4.3 Results and discussion

To verify our hypothesis, The experiment data was compared using the independent sample t-test through
Figure 5: Graphical user interface depicting the studied course (algorithmic)

1- Définition:
Les variables sont des identificateurs qui peuvent changer de valeurs durant l’exécution du programme.
L’ensemble des variables est stocké dans la mémoire de l’ordinateur. Une variable est l’association d’un nom avec un type, permettant de mémoriser une valeur de ce type.

2- Propriétés:
⇒ pour être utilisée par un ordinateur, elle doit souvent être typée :
• entier ;
• réel ;
• caractère (‘a’, ‘b’, . . .);
• chaînes de caractères (‘bonjour’).

3- Syntaxe:
La déclaration d’une variable :
\[ \text{Variables nomvariable type} \]
L’affectation : Est une opération permettant de modifier la valeur d’une variable :
\[ \text{nomvariable = valeur} \]
Affichage ou lecture :
\[ \text{Lire nomvariable} \]
\[ \text{Ecrire nomvariable} \]

4- Exemples:

Table 2: t-test statistics: comparison of average quizzes scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean of control group</th>
<th>Mean of experimental group</th>
<th>t_{score}</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>P_{value}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>77.14</td>
<td>-2.322</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The independent sample t-test was performed to compare the mean scores for the two groups. The t-test determined that the differences measured between the means of the control and experimental group were significantly different and could be attributed...
Figure 6: Evaluation activity

4.4 Learners feedback

To extract problems encountered as well as the global opinion of the learners, we prepared a questionnaire addressed to learners after using the system. The questions where divided into three categories:

1. General opinion about the interface of the system and the main available features.
2. The quality of the interface as well as its options.
3. The quality of the content of courses.

Most learners appreciated the integration of the intelligent tutoring system to adaptive hypermedia adopted in AITS and the support offered by the system. All of them found that the system is user-friendly (see figure 7). The participant’s opinion to use the system in the future was very high. According to them, the concepts were organized in a good manner (see figure 8). The content of activity guide is clear for the majority of students (see figure 9). Concerning the faced problems, the learners cited:

1. Lack of tools for the graphical representation of traces,
2. Knowledge assessment tool is less efficient (see figure 10),
3. Same activities without adaptation to learning style,
4. Lack of tools to communicate with teacher.

5 Conclusion and future work

Adaptive Hypermedia and Intelligent Tutoring Systems are both effective methods of computer-based learning. However, adaptive hypermedia is better suited for the instruction of concepts whereas intelligent tutoring system generally assists in the use of these concepts to solve problems. This paper was dedicated to the combination of these systems. The aim of adaptive intelligent tutoring system (AITS) has been to propose a non domain-dependent model to represent teaching activity. For each teaching domain, a domain model has been used to organise the learning process. Metrics have been elaborated to associate the exercises of an activity corpus to the domain model mentioned previously. As we have explained, it is thus possible to elaborate and update dynamically a learner model and even to propose remediation activities as a function of context trace observation. Importance was also given to the use of several types of activity and many types of resources. With the spread of the LMD (Licence-Master-Doctorate) educational system in Algeria, we took into account the licence (bachelor) degree, making our system useful for the university community. The application focused on teachers who are not specialized in ICT (Information and Communication Technology) and who possess only basic knowledge in ICT. At present, AITS is used only in French but we plan to take into account other languages. As an answer to the questions cited of this paper, we can say that merging adaptive hypermedia and intelligent tutoring system has good impact on the cognitive profiles of learners. Teachers and learners of various departments can use the system from any computer connected to the intranet of the university. The first results of this experiment were very encouraging. Most of the teachers and the learners appreciated the use of the system. As a result, we drew several conclusions and several research tracks were opened. In the future we would like to includes many more teaching subjects (mathematics, languages, science, etc.).
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